	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/882,005	LI, SHUO-YEN ROBERT	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	lan N. Moore	2616	
All Participants:	Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Ian N. Moore</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Luis Sickles II</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 9 June 2006	Time: <u>10:48 AM</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: none			
Claims discussed: none			
Prior art documents discussed: none			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER as set forth in examiner amendment	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
In N Mme			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appr	opriate)